

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/886,148 06/22/2001		Kazutaka Inukai	12732-053001	5723		
26171	7590	09/21/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & RIO P.O. BOX 10		SON P.C.		CHANG, K	ENT WU	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2675	2675	
				DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/886,148	INUKAI, KAZUTAKA		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
İ	Kent Chang	2675		

	Kent Chang	2675							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
 ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abando this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4 (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of following time periods: a) ☑ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 									
		e final rejection, whicheve	eris later In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	e filed within two mon	the of the date						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS	·	`	,						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC	f, will <u>not</u> be entered l TE below);	because						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		educing or simplifying	the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amondm	ont concoling						
the non-allowable claim(s).			_						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>2-46,48-55,57-64,66-73 and 75-82</u> .									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,47,56,65 and 74</u> .	•								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a									
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).									
13. Other:									
		Kent Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2675							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's argument are not deemed to be persuasive, the prior art of record still reads on the broadly claimed invention.